

Which of the comments in the summary document resonate with you and your system experience?	Which of the comments in the summary document do not seem applicable to you and your system experience?	What might you add that you think was missed in the feedback gathered so far?
Board size; Submission of minutes; construction review; staffing requirements; county commissioners role in systems; system formula criteria; LGI; System Certified (even though APPLS already voted on the group position)	Bookmobile (because we have never provided this service and have no experience)	We are probably missing some things. It would be good to review this all more. This input was requested at a busy time of year with a quick response requested.
Board composition/appointment - We receive substantial funding from our county commissioners. We have seven members that are appointed by our commissioners and all serve at-large. I like the idea of a range rather than a set number of members, and feel the terms should be limited. Roles & Responsibilities - Comments regarding the System board's review and approval for new construction/expansion be added. Financial Responsibilities - comments regarding not having the funding formula in the regulations. I think the criteria should not be included in the regulations Eligibility of State Aid - Incentive for Excellence Aid. - Wayne County's comment regarding Professional Librarian for Incentive for Excellence. All our libraries meet Incentive for Excellence Aid, but not all our librarians are professionals.	Bookmobile services	
Prefer municipal appointment of board members as we receive significant funding from a county tax, approval of construction plans that correspond with an overall plan for countywide services, staying away from placing specific elements of formulas in coding, regularly reviewing these elements, number of board members based on population served, mandated training is hard to enforce, composition of board should be placed in the local by-laws or member agreements, and affordability of minimum hours open for incentive for excellence aid eligibility especially with library service available 24/7 through online presence.	Bookmobiles	Library director qualifications versus system certification

<p>I agree that system boards should require approval for major construction, expansion, new branch, etc. -- for the same reasons that others mentioned. Regarding the funding formulas -- I agree with Luzerne, "Luzerne: The formula for distribution might best be left to the local system board with the approval of the state librarian and in compliance with any guidelines developed by Commonwealth Libraries and approved by the GAC. The 5% reduction in state aid is a good idea for libraries not meeting all standards, but should there be mention of how that money might then be used or distributed by the system?" Regarding conflicts, I agree with Greene, "Greene: really like the idea that the State Librarian will have the final say on disputes within systems. The district centers have enough to do without getting involved. I think the districts should be made aware of issues but the final decision lying with the State Librarian gives it more backing and puts an end to it." I will add that local libraries can sometimes become resentful on the district, which can cause further conflict. "Conflict" should also be more well defined. Bookmobiles -- I agree that language of being at community stops at least 20 hours per week is problematic. Our county is very large and sometimes travel to a stop is close to an hours time. I'd also like to be able to include stops at Senior Centers, Daycares, etc.</p>	<p>I have no issue with the size of the system board, however, I would not want the minimum to be more than 7, as we only have two member libraries. Right now we have 3 members from each board and a count commissioner. That is plenty for us.</p>	<p>Bookmobile Collections -- I'd like to see bookmobiles be able to easily pull needed items/collections from the main library (or a branch). In order to meet Bookmobile collection requirements, I have materials on holding shelves where the public has very little access. I'd rather spend a bit more on other collections and not have a dedicated bookmobile collection. It would require an adjustment to internal procedures, but easy enough to implement.</p>
<p>-Allowing a range of board members, not a set number. - Specify boards must have an odd number of members. -The system should be a part of any new buildings as it would reflect back on the system as a whole. -Those libraries providing larger amounts of resources should not just automatically get more funding.</p>		
<p>Keep the System Board involved in approval of building expansion or renovation plans. Urge STRONGLY that the composition of System Boards be left to a local decision.</p>		
<p>Board appointments</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Seems complete</p>